

## PALM SPRINGS, CALIFORNIA 1/2

2022 MUNICIPAL EQUALITY INDEX SCORECARD

## I. Non-Discrimination Laws\*\*

STATE COUNTY MUNICIPAL AVAILABLE

This category evaluates whether discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation and gender identity is prohibited by the city, county, or state in areas of employment, housing, and public accommodations.

Employment		
Housing		
Public Accommodations		








			5 5
SCORE			



00











MUNICIPAL



AVAILABLE

# II. Municipality as Employer

By offering equivalent benefits and protections to LGBTQ+ employees, awarding contracts to fair-minded businesses, and taking steps to ensure an inclusive workplace, municipalities commit themselves to treating LGBTQ+ employees equally.

Non-Discrimination	in	City	Employment	

Transgender-Inclusive Healthcare Benefits

Inclusive Workplace

Benefits

SCORE

City Contractor Non-Discrimination Ordinance



COUNTY























## III. Municipal Services

This section assesses the efforts of the city to ensure LGBTQ+ residents are included in city services and programs.

### Human Rights Commission

**FLEX** City Employee Domestic Partner





COUNTY



MUNICIPAL



AVAILABLE








**10** out of 12

## SCORE

Commission

FLEX Youth Bullying Prevention Policy for City Services

LGBTQ+ Liaison in City Executive's Office



**FLEX** City Provides Services to the Transgender Community























## PALM SPRINGS, CALIFORNIA 2/2

2022 MUNICIPAL EQUALITY INDEX SCORECARD



MUNICIPAL AVAILABLE

## IV. Law Enforcement

Fair enforcement of the law includes

thoughtful and respectful way.

responsible reporting of hate crimes and

engaging with the LGBTQ+ community in a

LGBTQ+ Liaison/Task Force in Police Department Reported 2020 Hate Crimes Statistics





12

MUNICIPAL AVAILABLE

**SCORE** 

to the FBI

SCORE

**22** out of 22

## V. Leadership on LGBTQ+ Equality

This category measures the city leadership's commitment to fully include the LGBTQ+ community and to advocate for full equality.

Leadership's Public Position on LGBTQ+ Equality

Leadership's Pro-Equality Legislative or Policy Efforts



FLEX Openly LGBTQ+ Elected or Appointed Leaders

**FLEX** City Tests Limits of Restrictive State



**TOTAL SCORE 98 + TOTAL FLEX SCORE 19 =** 

Final Score 100

**CANNOT EXCEED 100** 

\*\* On June 15, 2020, the U.S. Supreme Court ruled in Bostock v. Clayton County, Georgia that sexual orientation and gender identity discrimination are prohibited under federal sex-based employment protections. Nevertheless, it is imperative that localities continue enacting explicitly LGBTQ+-inclusive comprehensive non-discrimination laws since it will likely take additional litigation for Bostock to be fully applied to all sex-based protections under existing federal civil rights law. Moreover, federal law currently lacks sex-based protections in numerous key areas of life, including public spaces and services. Lastly, there are many invaluable benefits to localizing inclusive protections even when they exist on higher levels of government. For these reasons, the MEI will continue to only award credit in Part I for state, county, or municipal non-discrimination laws that expressly include sexual orientation and gender identity.

PTS FOR SEXUAL ORIENTATION -



- PTS FOR GENDER IDENTITY



FLEX PTS for criteria not accessible to all cities at this time.

FOR MORE INFORMATION ABOUT CITY SELECTION, CRITERIA OR THE MEI SCORING SYSTEM, PLEASE VISIT HRC.ORG/MEI.

All cities rated were provided their scorecard in advance of publication and given the opportunity to submit revisions. For feedback regarding a particular city's scorecard, please email mei@hrc.org.